



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,077	02/14/2001	Jonathan S. Stamler	28195-502 CIP	9791	
35437	7590 12/22/2004		EXAMINER		
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO			PAK, JOHN D		
666 THIRD A NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1616		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/782,077	STAMLER, JONATHAN S.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	!
	JOHN PAK	1616	<i>j</i>
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing about the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advance event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials are considered as a considered than three materials are considered than three materials are considered to the considered than three considered than the considered three conside	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 36(a) and the appropriate exithe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 April 2004. App. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			th in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		,	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

(Rev. 11-03) Advisory Action

10. Other: See Interview Summary Record of 12/17/2004

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1000 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/782,077

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 is rendered confusing as to its metes and boudns because component (a) does not appear to include the compounds of claims 4, 5, 18 and 19. Since those claims have not been canceled, the metes and bounds of claim 1 is confusing and indefinite. For future consideration, applicant is requested to double check that compounds claimed in all dependent claims clearly and properly meet the requirements of proposed claim 1.